Radiationless relaxation pathways in molecular dimers

Attila BENDE and Alex-Adrian FARCAS

Molecular and Biomolecular Physics Department, National Institute for Research and Development of Isotopic and Molecular Technologies, Cluj-Napoca, Romania





The case of benzene monomer and dimer





Quantum Yield (Φ) measurements for dopamine:

pH	Solution	QY (%)
5.5	Concentrated Diluted	3.4 3.4
8.0	Concentrated Diluted	2.0 2.4

$$\Phi = \frac{Nr.Photons\ Emitted}{Nr.Photons\ Absorbed} = \frac{k_r}{k_r + k_{nr}}$$

For ex. for $\Phi = 2.0$, the non-radiation process is 50 times faster than the radiation one !!!



The most general radiationless relaxation of a molecule is an *"internal conversion"* transition through the so-called **conical intersection** geometries.

Internal conversion:

is a radiationless transition between energy states of the same spin state



Theoretical methods: - Strong static electron correlation effects due to the degenerated electronic states.

- Needs for multiconfigurational (multi-determinant) electronic structure methods: MCSCF, MRPT2, NEVPT2, etc.
- **TDDFT ???** In principle, is an one-determinant theory (like Hartree-Fock), but in the exchange-correlation functionals could be include also some terms to cover these effects.

In TDDFT the $\chi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega)$ response function contains the Ω_n excitation energies as poles of a complex function:

$$\chi(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(\cdots)}{\omega - \Omega_n + i\eta} - \frac{(\cdots)}{\omega + \Omega_n + i\eta} \right\} \qquad \text{If } S_1 \text{ crosses } S_0, \text{ one of the poles } \approx \frac{1}{0} \to \infty$$

Wrong dimensionality of the potential energy surface around the CI geometries !!!



Solution: Spin-flipped time-dependent density functional theory (SF-TDDFT).

The reference (ground state) calculation is the ground triplet state.

21a -> 21b : 0.288447 (c= -0.53707289)

Benzene monomer:

- The typical case study of aromatic molecules for conical intersection

Conformational energy difference: Benzene § Sos static aleio te estevition geometry $\Delta(\mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{CI}}-\mathsf{E}^{\mathsf{S0}}) =$ 8.30 kcal/mol Half-boat conformation ωB97X-D3/ma-def2-TZVPP



A. J. Jenkins, M. A. Robb, Comput. Theor. Chem., 1152, 53 (2019).

Benzene dimer: Method: spin-flipped TDDFT

Why? It also contains a significant amount of electron correlation effects

Through the D3 scheme it can be included dispersion effects

Multi-reference SCF does not contain dynamic electron correlation effects

Less computationally expensive

SF-TDDF/ωB97X-D3/ma-def2-TZVPP

Benzene dimer:



Ground state

 $\Delta E_{int} = -3.14 \text{ kcal/mol}$

REF.



S₁ equilibrium geometry (excimer)

 $\Delta E_{int} = -14.00 \text{ kcal/mol} \implies$ Strong hole – electron interaction

S₁ (monomer): 3.95 eV

 S_1 (dimer): 3.04 eV - excimer

 $\Delta E_{int} = E_x - E_{RFF}$

Conical intersection in benzene dimer:

A. Monomer deformation



 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +17.60 \text{ kcal/mol}$



 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +17.46 \text{ kcal/mol}$

Conical intersection in benzene dimer:

B. Dimer deformation



 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +1.00 \text{ kcal/mol}$

Method: SF-TDDF/ωB97X-D3/ma-def2-TZVPP

Results published in: A. Bende, A.-A.Farcaș, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, 2906.

CI can be reached with much lower deformation energy over the monomers !!!

 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +1.18 \text{ kcal/mol}$

Conical intersection in benzene dimer:

B. Dimer deformation



Method: SF-TDDF/ωB97X-D3/ma-def2-TZVPP

Results published in: A. Bende, A.-A.Farcaș, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, 2906.



 ΔE_{Conf} = +6.98 kcal/mol

Conical intersection in benzene dimer:

<u>C. Transition state</u>: between R_e^{S1} and R_D^{CI}

 $\Delta E_{TS} = +7.54 \text{ kcal/mol}$

Method: SF-TDDF/ωB97X-D3/ma-def2-TZVPP

Results published in: A. Bende, A.-A.Farcaș, Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2023, 24, 2906.



The case of catechol dimer

Conical intersection in catechol dimer:

 $\Delta E_{\text{Conf}} = +25.23 \text{ kcal/mol}$

 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +24.66 \text{ kcal/mol}$

 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +21.14 \text{ kcal/mol}$

Results published in: A. Bende, A.A. Farcaş, A. Falamaş, A. Petran, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2022, 24, 29165.

Method: SF-TDDF/\u03c6B97X-D3/ma-def2-TZVPP

The case of catechol dimer

Conical intersection in catechol dimer:

Method: SF-TDDF/ωB97X-D3/ma-def2-TZVPP



 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +10.66 \text{ kcal/mol}$

 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +11.20 \text{ kcal/mol}$

 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +7.39 \text{ kcal/mol}$

Results published in: A. Bende, A.A. Farcaş, A. Falamaş, A. Petran, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2022, 24, 29165.

The case of catechol dimer

Conical intersection in catechol dimer:



Method: SF-TDDF/ωB97X-D3/ma-def2-TZVPP

 $\Delta E_{Conf} = +13.08 \text{ kcal/mol}$

Results published in: A. Bende, A.A. Farcaş, A. Falamaş, A. Petran, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2022, 24, 29165.

Conclusions:

Stronger intermolecular interaction energies between the monomers were found for the $\rm S_1$ state than for the $\rm S_0$ state;



Dimer configurations in the S_1 electronic state prefer the perfect stacking geometry configuration instead of shifted-stacking or H-bonded forms;



The dimer-type conical intersection geometries are energetically more favorable than the monomer-type CI configurations;



The side fragments (OH groups) of the catechol can influence the conical intersection geometries and their energetics;

Acknowledgements:



Founding: PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2020-0770



Research Team: Dr. Alex-Adrian FARCAŞ, Dr. Alexandra FALAMAŞ and Dr. Anca PETRAN;



Data Center of INCDTIM; ORCA program developers

Thank You for Your Attention